** Content Expert Report**

**Library Science Personnel Education**

**(05-17)**

Education Standards and Practices Board

**Program report status** (check one)**:**

 **[ ]  Initial review [ ]  Continuing Review [ ]  Focus Visit**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name of Content Expert:** |       |
| **Content Expert Telephone:** |       |
| **Content Expert Email:** |       |
| **Date Submitted:** |       |
| **Institution Being Evaluated:** |       |
| **Program Being Evaluated:** |       |
| **Degree:** |       |
| **Grade Level(s):** |       |

**Is this program offered at more than one site?** **[ ]  Yes** **[ ]  No**

If yes, list sites where the program is offered:

**Summary of Recommendations**

After completing your review, for each sub-standard listed below, place a check under the column that matches your decision.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Standard** | **Met** | **Met With Weakness** | **Not Met** |
| **50065.1** |  |  |  |
| **50065.2** |  |  |  |
| **50065.3** |  |  |  |
| **50065.4** |  |  |  |
| **50065.5** |  |  |  |

**Library Science Education**

**Directions:** Please read the Content Area Report (CAR) supplied by the institution.

1. Make a recommendation for each program standard as to whether it has been met, met with weakness, or not met based on the evidence provided.
2. For any standard “met with weakness” or “not met” identify the weakness(es) and provide a rationale.

**50065.1 Teaching for Learning** The program prepares candidates to demonstrate knowledge of learners and learning and who model and promote collaborative planning, instruction in multiple literacies, and inquiry-based learning, enabling members of the learning community to become effective users and creators of ideas and information. Candidates design and implement instruction that engages students’ interests and develops their ability to inquire, think critically, gain and share knowledge.

A. **Content Expert Decision**: Is the program standard met, met with weakness, or not met?

      Met      Met with Weakness      Not Met

B. **For Decisions of “Met with Weakness” or “Not Met”:** Describe the specific weakness identified and the rationale for the decision. This information will guide the institution to provide additional information in their rejoinder, or be used to determine stipulations for the institution to address by the time the next visit occurs.

i. **Weaknesses:**

ii. **Rationale:**

**50065.2 Literacy and Reading** The program prepares candidates to promote reading for learning, personal growth, and enjoyment. Candidates are aware of major trends in children’s and young adult literature and select reading materials in multiple formats to support reading for information, reading for pleasure, and reading for lifelong learning. Candidates use a variety of strategies to reinforce classroom reading instruction to address the diverse needs and interests of all readers.

A. **Content Expert Decision**: Is the sub-standard met, met with weakness, or not met?

      Met      Met with Weakness      Not Met

B. **For Decisions of “Met with Weakness” or “Not Met”:** Describe the specific weakness identified and the rationale for the decision. This information will guide the institution to provide additional information in their rejoinder, or be used to determine stipulations for the institution to address by the time the next visit occurs.

i. **Weaknesses:**

ii. **Rationale:**

**50065.3 Information and Knowledge** The program prepares candidates to model and promote ethical, equitable access to and use of physical, digital, and virtual collections of resources. Candidates demonstrate knowledge of a variety of information sources and services that support the needs of the diverse learning community. Candidates demonstrate the use of a variety of research strategies to generate knowledge to improve practice.

A. **Content Expert Decision**: Is the sub-standard met, met with weakness, or not met?

      Met      Met with Weakness      Not Met

B. **For Decisions of “Met with Weakness” or “Not Met”:** Describe the specific weakness identified and the rationale for the decision. This information will guide the institution to provide additional information in their rejoinder, or be used to determine stipulations for the institution to address by the time the next visit occurs.

i. **Weaknesses:**

ii. **Rationale:**

**50065.4 Advocacy and Leadership** The program prepares candidates to advocate for dynamic school library programs and positive learning environments that focus on student learning and achievement by collaborating and connecting with teachers, administrators, librarians, and the community. Candidates are committed to continuous learning and professional development activities for other educators. Candidates provide leadership by articulating ways in which school libraries contribute to student achievement.

A. **Content Expert Decision**: Is the sub-standard met, met with weakness, or not met?

      Met      Met with Weakness      Not Met

B. **For Decisions of “Met with Weakness” or “Not Met”:** Describe the specific weakness identified and the rationale for the decision. This information will guide the institution to provide additional information in their rejoinder, or be used to determine stipulations for the institution to address by the time the next visit occurs. A rationale does not need to be provided for standards “met”.

i. **Weaknesses:**

ii. **Rationale:**

**50065.5 Program Management and Administration** The program prepares candidates to plan, develop, implement, and evaluate school library programs, resources, and services in support of the mission of the library program within the school according to the ethics and principles of library science, education, management, and administration.

A. **Content Expert Decision**: Is the sub-standard met, met with weakness, or not met?

      Met      Met with Weakness      Not Met

B. **For Decisions of “Met with Weakness” or “Not Met”:** Describe the specific weakness identified and the rationale for the decision. This information will guide the institution to provide additional information in their rejoinder, or be used to determine stipulations for the institution to address by the time the next visit occurs. A rationale does not need to be provided for standards “met”.

i. **Weaknesses:**

ii. **Rationale:**

**Curriculum Exhibit Form SFN 14381 (or status sheet):** This form or status sheet provides the opportunity for institutions to document the entire program including general studies, teaching specialty, and professional education. This form is used to document each student transcript that applies for teacher licensure. Please review this form to make sure all of the courses listed above for each sub-standard are listed as a “**Requirement”. If a course is missing or is not a required course, please list below:**

**Content Expert Review of Standard and recommendation to State Board of Examiner (BOE) Team:** The content expert provides an initial review of the program standard and provides a recommendation to the CAEP/State Board of Examiners for the onsite review. **What additional information should the CAEP/ESPB Team research on-site during the visit?**

This report will be electronically forwarded to the CAEP/State Board of Examiners nine months prior to the scheduled program review visit. If you have any questions or concerns, please contact the Education Standards and Practices Board Director at 701-328-9646.

**Thank you for taking the time from your busy schedule to help document that our North Dakota institutions of higher education have prepared our teachers for the best possible education for all North Dakota students.**